

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/750,428	12/31/2003	Derek J. Daw	PA094-US	1761
27405 . 7	/590 12/03/2004		EXAMINER	
THEROX, INC.			RAEVIS, ROBERT R	
2400 MICHELSON DRIVE IRVINE, CA 92612			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2856	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/750,428	DAW ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert R. Raevis	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Oc	ctober 2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8,9,11-15 and 17-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>6,7,10,16</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the b	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
·						
Attachment(s)	A 🗖 Indeed (1997)	/DTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1,2,11-13,3-5,8,9,14,15,17-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Gilcher et al or Natwick et al, and further in view of Kline-Schoder et al.

Gilcher (col. 4, lines 35-45) and Natwick et al (col. 2, lines 5-10) teach that bubble detectors may be calibrated to respond to particular size; but do not provide particulars of calibration device to provide a standard.

As to claims 1,3,4,14,15,18,22, it would have been obvious to employ Kline's system of Figure 13 to provide a calibration reference for either Gilcher or Natwick because Kline teaches that a system employing conduit 12, peristaltic pump 118, and bubble forming device ("GLASS TUBE" and "WATER JET") will produce bubbles having a particular size and number for testing.

As to claim 17, use of compressed air in Klein is suggestive of use of an air pump to allow for use of environmental air as a source.

As to claims 2,11, note (col. 16, lines 13-17) that Kline refers to use of a second sensor to test a first.

As to claims 12,13, it would have been obvious to record bubble sensor data for subsequent analysis. In addition, note Kline's camera type measuring device (col. 16, line 15) that us used to confirm measurements of an UT instrument.

As to claims 5,8, note the Kline employs a block that holds the "GLASS TUBE".

As to claims 9,19, if the "WATER TANK" has no lid, the open top provides for damping between the pump 118 and bubbles exiting "GLASS TUBE". In the alternative,

Art Unit: 2856

if there is a sealed lid, there is gas in the upper portion of the "TANK" which provides damping.

As to claims 20,21, note that Kline employs a UT measurement (col. 16, line 14), and those UT measurements are confirmed with video microscopy. UT measurements employ many signals, both transmitting and receiving.

As to claim 23, calibration requires comparing. As to claims 24,28, particle detectors are known to calibration factors to calculate a final size, suggestive of calibrating by determining such a factor.

As to claim 25, note the various flows on col. 16, lines 10-13 of Kline.

As to claims 26,27,note that bubble size and number are controlled on col. 16, lines 4-7 of Kline.

Regarding Applicant's REMARKS/ARGUMENTS, please consider the following:

As to page 8, third full paragraph; References Gilcher and Nawick expressly state that the bubbles sensors should be calibrated, but does not provide for any instrument to do so. As a result, one of ordinary skill in the art would turn to any instrument that would produce bubbles having a known size for such calibration. Reference Kline-Schoder provides such a reference for the system described in Figure 13 of Kline.

As to page 8, last paragraph; Kline teaches a system that will produce bubbles having a particular size and number for testing. (Please see col. 16, lines 3-15.) It would not appear to be all that important whether Kline is water or otherwise, as bubble size is bubble size.

Art Unit: 2856

Claims 6,7,10,16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7am to 4pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,428

Art Unit: 2856

Page 5

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROVO